The shape and size of shells, kernels, and cracks -- in a nutshell



148 accessions X-ray CT scanned

Qualitative crackability









Air





Preprint 10.1101/2023.09.26.559651 DOT:

Python Code github.com/amezqui3/walnut_tda





Phenotyping walnuts with X-ray CT scanning

Erik Amézquita¹

■ eah4d@missouri

Michelle Quigley² Liz Munch³ Pat Brown⁴ Dan Chitwood²

- Plant Sciences & Technology, University of Missouri
 Horticulture, Michigan State University
 Computational Math, Science & Engineering, Michigan State University
 Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis



- 1264 individuals \rightarrow 149 accessions
- 49 morphological phenotypes:
 - ↔ lengths, areas, absolute and relative volumes, ...
- 12 traits of commercial interest:

 \hookrightarrow kernel weight, ease of kernel removal, shell strength

Allometry reveals biophysical limits



- If nut volume increases by 2X ↔Then air volume increases by 2.3X ↔Walnut diameter capped at 15cm (6")
- \hookrightarrow Diameter larger than 1.6cm (5%")
- If nut volume increases by 2X ↔Kernel volume only increases by 1.8X

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NSF Plant Genome Research Program awards IOS-2310355, IOS-2310356, and IOS-2310357

References [1] Bernard, A., Hamdy, S., Le Corre, L. *et al.* (2020) "3D characterization of walnut morphological using X-ray computed tomography," *Plant Methods*, **16**, 115.

Packing Vol Rati Shell Vol Ratio Shell Volume Protruding Shell Volum Protruding Shell Bati Air Vol Rati Kernel Ratio Convex Area



- predictive model

Number of morphological features used

• Stepwise linear regression to model commercial traits using only morphological traits

• Determine shape traits that contribute the most to the

• Perform a 70/30 train/test split to avoid overfitting • Use only traits with significant Spearman correlation • Relative tissue volume and thickness is all you need! • Inexpensive phenotyping platforms focused solely on volumetric analyses

Future directions: domestication



• Earliest Himalayan accession is notoriously hard to crack open yet it is morphologically average • There must be a subtle yet fundamental morphological change when walnut was domesticated • Inexpensive platforms \rightarrow More phenotyping \rightarrow More data \rightarrow Better math models → Insights into breeding and domestication